



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/643,729	08/23/2000	Yvon Bergeron	14786-1US JA/AA	9798
20988 75	90 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
OGILVY RENAULT 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE			TON, ANTHONY T	
SUITE 1600	COLLEGE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3			2661	7
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO 90C (Rev 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	09/643,729	BERGERON ET AL.
• Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony T Ton	2661
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine </li> </ul>	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
` '		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/643,729

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTIONS**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corrigan et al. (US Patent No. 6,697,345) in view of Brwonrigg et al. (US Patent No. 6,249,516), hereinafter referred to as Corrigan and Brwonrigg, respectively.
- a) In Regarding to Claim 17: Corrigan disclosed a method for sending a data packet from wireless terminal to a second network via a wireless network, said method comprising the steps of:

sending header information from the wireless terminal (see Fig.2: RT 114; and col.8 lines 52-55),

removing said header information of said data packet to provide a data part of said data packet (see col.57 lines 29-33: signaling is extracted by the T1/E1 framers 2554),

adding to said data part of said data packet a wireless header to provide a wireless packet (see col.41 lines 16-27: header 1602),

transmitting said wireless packet over said wireless network (see Fig. 2: TDM MUX and network 246),

Application/Control Number: 09/643,729

Art Unit: 2661

receiving said wireless packet and removing said wireless header of said wireless header of said wireless packet to provide said data part of said wireless packet (see Fig.2: TDM MUX 230 (receiving the wireless packet) and ATM MUX 232 (hence removing said wireless header), create a header for said data part using said header information received and according to

Corrigan failed to explicitly disclose adding said created header to said data part of said wireless packet to provide a new packet and sending said new packet on said second network.

a said second network (see Fig. 2 ATM MUX 232, hence, an ATM header is created),

**Brownrigg explicitly disclosed** such adding said created header to said data part of said wireless packet to provide a new packet and sending said new packet on said second network (see Figs. 17 and 1; and col. 20 lines 60-67).

At the time of the invention, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine such adding said created header to said data part of said wireless packet to provide a new packet and sending said new packet on said second network as taught by Brownrigg with Corrigan, so that data packets can be properly routed from a wireless source node via different networks to a corresponding destination node. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide packets that can be transmitted/received in different network protocols. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Miles and Woodward the invention as specified in this claim.

b) In Regarding to Claim 18: The claimed subject matters of this claim are the reverse steps of that in the claim 17, wherein packets are implemented to transmit from the second network to the first network. Therefore, the rejection to claim 17 would apply to reject this claim as well.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,729

**Art Unit: 2661** 

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-16 are allowed.

#### **Examiner Information**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony T. Ton whose telephone number is 703-305-8956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas W Olms, can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

ATT 6/23/2004

Murin Sam